REMARKS

The Applicant respectfully requests entry of the above amendment and reconsideration in view of the amendment and the following remarks.

In response to the objection to the claims the claims have been amended above.

The above amendment was not required for patentability of the claims, so that the claims cover all equivalents of the elements of the claims.

In response to the rejection of Claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,738,813 issued to Reichman in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,227,863 issued to Bilbrey et. al.

The combination of citations does not disclose "address retrieval means which, when activation information is present, are adapted to retrieve collective address information from an address server operatively connected to the address retrieval means through the internet, the collective address information identifying those information servers from which information data processable by the internet receiving arrangement can be retrieved" as in claim 1.

The Examiner states that Reichman discloses an arrangement having address retrieval means (client receiving information from server as described at col. 4, lines 20-40) which, when activation information is present (resource availability described at col. 5, lines 35-45), are adapted to retrieve collective address information from an address server connected to the internet (the sessions described at col. 5, lines 35-67).

The Applicant, respectfully points out that Reichman at col. 4, lines 20-40 only describes the agent 32 having functionality for recordings transactions. The transactions are listed to include logging in, performing a search, making a reservation, or checking the price of an item and are recorded in the form of transaction

files. Reichman in the description at col. 4, lines 20-40 makes no mention of anything that could be construed as address retrieval means as defined by rejected Claim 1.

Furthermore, the combination of citations does not disclose "quality test means ... for supplying the activation information to the address retrieval means when the quality of the received information data is below a quality threshold value or when no information data processable by the internet receiving arrangement are received from the information server" as in claim 1.

The Examiner contends that Reichman teaches quality test means (refer to Col 7, Lines 35-67)(15)for testing the information data (data stream, refer to Col 7, lines 55-60)(AD,ADV,BD,ND,ZD) retrieved and received by the information retrieval means (load and locate, refer to col 7, lines 45-67)(12) for supplying the activation information (AKI)to the address retrieval means (7) when the quality of the received information data (AD,ADV,BD,ND,ZD) is below a quality threshold value (refer to col 7, lines 35-67) or when no information data (AD,ADV,BD,ND,ZD) processable by the internet receiving arrangement (1, 21, 22) are received from the information server (3, 4, 5).

The Applicant respectfully points out that nowhere in Reichman at col. 7, lines 35-67, does he teach "supplying the activation information to the address retrieval means when the quality of the received information data is below a quality threshold value" as in claim 1. The Applicant also respectfully points out that nowhere in Reichman at col. 7, lines 35-67, does he teach "supplying the activation information to the address retrieval means when ... no information data processable by the internet receiving arrangement are received from the information server" as in claim 1. Therefore, Claim 1 is believed to be clearly allowable over the cited references.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of citations does not disclose "timer means ... supply the activation information to the address retrieval means in order to retrieve the collective address information", as in claim 2. In Rickman the timer means only activates the retrieval of transactions including logging in, performing a search, making a reservation, or checking the price of an item. Nothing similar to address information.

Claim 3 depends on Claim 1, therefore, Claim 3 is also allowable for at least the same reasons.

Regarding claim 4, the combination of citations does not disclose "transcoding address information" as in claim 4.

Regarding Claim 5, the combination of citations does not disclose "noise generator means ... adapted to supply noise information to information data processing means of the internet receiving arrangement during the time that the activation information is present" as in claim 5. The purpose of generating noise in the invention of claim 5 is to prevent playing silence while retrieving the address information.

The Applicant respectfully points out that Bilbrey el al. teach to provide noise generation when a digital television is not tuned to a station to create a display effect which is similar to that created by an analog television set which is not tuned to a station. The rejection entirely fails to address the features of supplying noise information to "during the time that the activation information is present." Therefore, this rejection is traversed.

Claim 6 depends on Claim 1, therefore, Claim 6 is also allowable for at least the same reasons.

Regarding claim 7, the combination of citations does not disclose "an internet television set", as in rejected claim 7.

The combination of citations does not disclose anything similar to an internet television set.

Regarding the official notice that "quality is a measure of data quality is commonly well known", applicant objects because the only quality measured by the combination of citations is response time under load. There is not suggestion or motivation to modify the citations to measure audio data quality because it has nothing to do with load testing.

The claims are definite and distinguished from the citations and Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any fee (except the issue fee) including fees for any required extension of time, to Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By _Michael Belk_

Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357
Patent Attorney
(914) 333-9643

n:\userpublic\be\pa\at\at000053_am2.doc